	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/084,237	JORDAN, FREDERICK L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714
All Participants:	Status of Application	1:
(1) <u>Cephia D. Toomer</u> .	(3)	
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 April 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Shown of Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Shown of Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Shown of Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Shown of Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Shown of Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of Telephonic ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		•
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	CENEDAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUISSED.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED.
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a segdirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a segdid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief segment of the provide a segdid not result in resolution of all issues. 	on. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ar	oplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/084,237

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner phoned Mr. Schneider to discuss the 112 issues. Since Mr Scheider was not available the examiner left a voice mail message regarding the issues and informed Mr. Schneider that she needed to hear from him by noon of 4/30/04. The examiner did not hear from Mr. Schneider and is sending out the office action..